```
803
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
                                Χ
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 20-CR-272(PKC)
 4
              Plaintiff,
                                     United States Courthouse
 5
                                    Brooklyn, New York
           -against-
6
    DONAL O'SULLIVAN, et al.,
 7
                                    October 7, 2021
                                   9:30 a.m.
              Defendants: :
8
9
                     TRANSCRIPT OF JURY SELECTION
10
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, and a jury.
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    For the Government:
                                 JACQUELYN M. KASULIS
                                Acting United States Attorney
13
                                 BY: FRANK TURNER BUFORD
14
                                     VIRGINIA NGUYEN
                                     MEREDITH A. ARFA
15
                                 Assistant United States Attorneys
                                 271 Cadman Plaza East
16
                                 Brooklyn, New York
17
    For Deft. D. O'Sullivan:
                                ALAN M. VINEGRAD, ESQ.
18
                                 ERIN K. MONJU, ESQ.
19
    For Deft. H. O'Sullivan: CHRIS FLOOD, ESQ.
20
21
    For Deft. P. Naughton:
                                 SEAN F. O'SHEA, ESQ.
                                AMANDA DEVEREUX, ESQ.
22
    Court Reporter:
                                Andronikh M. Barna
                                 225 Cadman Plaza East
23
                                 Brooklyn, New York
24
                                 (718) 613-2178
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
    produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

	Proceedings 845
1	parties anticipate you will see or hear, or that will be
2	introduced. But at the end of the day, and at the end of the
3	trial, if you never see it, it didn't exist.
4	I want to make sure you understand the purpose of
5	openings and to understand that what you saw on the screen and
6	was shown to you is not yet evidence. And, again, to
7	emphasize, the defendants have no obligation to put on any
8	evidence, but obviously if the proof of what you saw never
9	comes in, then it doesn't exist.
10	Okay, so with that, I'm going to give you a break.
11	Let's start again at 11:30 everybody.
12	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
13	(Jury exits the courtroom.)
14	THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
15	Now I hope you can appreciate that it takes a lot to
16	get me angry, but I am angry.
17	Nobody gave me a heads up that there was going to be
18	anything shown during an opening that could constitute an
19	exhibit. I drew the line when you got to the plea agreement,
20	because as far as I know, those don't routinely come in, and
21	no one made a motion to have it admitted, and as far as I was
22	concerned, it wasn't necessarily going to be an exhibit. So I
23	did not want the jury to be shown that.
24	And you forced me, Mr. Vinegrad, to give an
25	instruction to tell the jury that it's not evidence yet. And

## Proceedings

you on the defense side surely know that there should be at least some be heads up given to the judge that the defense or the government or some party wants to show the jury things that are not yet admitted into evidence.

Now, my understanding is that the government may not have objected to it, but that doesn't mean no one should have told me, because you put myself and Ms. Abdallah in a very difficult position, where we turn the lights off, quite frankly, after the exhibit, or whatever you want to call it, is sitting up there for a while.

This is not closing. It's an opening. And for the defense to present to the jury what is maybe going to come in as evidence, without letting me know so that I could give some sort of caution, as I did just now that it's not yet evidence, and it's an opening statement, not an argument about evidence that was already introduced, is inexcusable.

So I erred -- I let you put in everything but the plea agreement. And I want the record to be clear that there were at least three charts, a photograph of the facility shown to the jury already, although none of it has been admitted.

Fine, if the government doesn't want to object, that's one thing, but someone should have let me know and so I didn't have to make an in-the-moment decision and run the risk of interrupting, and I didn't interruption your opening, because I didn't want to tell that to the jury that I thought

LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR, Official Court Reporter

## Proceedings

something inappropriate had been done, but I drew the line at showing them the plea agreement. Because, as you all know, those don't always come into evidence, and so it's 3500 material right now.

And I think the defense often argues that the plea agreement shouldn't come in, because it has all these provisions about telling the truth, et cetera.

So you folks are risking having things excluded if you don't give me some heads up about what's coming. This was an easy one. Someone should have told me, sought permission to show the jury what may come in as evidence later so that I could given some kind of cautionary instruction.

Mr. Vinegrad.

MR. VINEGRAD: I apologize to the Court. Putting aside the plea agreement, and my experience just may differ from Your Honor, I respect what Your Honor's saying, that they are introduced and routinely admitted during cross-examination.

The other exhibits, we have stipulations for all of them. I anticipate they will be received in evidence. I think that does explain the government's lack of objection. That does not excuse our not notifying the Court. It was our intention. It didn't get carried out, and I take 100 percent responsibility for that. And I apologize to the Court, and if I have anything to do with it, it will not happen again.